## TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE Transcriber's Office

March 3, 1999 LR 10

safety of or provides for the safety of incumbency a little bit more, albeit maybe that is not a big issue. Certainly today in this country we are in a different mode of communications, many different modes of communication than we had back when this was debated in the Congress in the constitutional conventions. fact, as I was reading some background work in The Federalist papers, many, they debated six months, nine months, three months, one year. Actually, this was a compromise between one-year and three-year elections so they settled on two. there had...were there discussions at that time or there were some in England, as I read it, that were as little as six months because they wanted to provide for the public safety, albeit that people, scoundrels in office would not be able to do too much damage before they could be voted out. In The Federalist papers, it is argued that with people, of men, in this case, it said men, but men and women of upright and moral character would be the ones that would run for office, and certainly they wouldn't be expected to abuse their privileges of office. And I think that can be considered to be true today. So, perhaps, we haven't had a lot of mischief provided in two years in the terms of Congress. Perhaps that should not be a consideration for today. But I do know that our founding fathers, and I think we do today, feel that we should have our public officials, public service, whether it's us or Congressmen, subject to the scrutiny of office, and I think that's one of the reasons that they have the two years. Certainly, there is a lot more safety in incumbency in six years there is in two, and I think, perhaps, the incumbency issue ought to be one that we ought to perhaps discuss a little bit. I just felt that this ought not go through this body without five minutes of discussion, without at least everyone understanding, having a chance to comment, and with that, I will leave my motion to return up there for a few minutes if there are others that want to comment on this and provide some discussion, and then it would be my intent to withdraw and allow it to go to a vote. Thank you.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Speaker and members of the Legislature, I was very interested when Senator Wehrbein began talking. I retained my interest while he was talking, but I was somewhat distracted. Here in the culture corner, things happen. Senator